## PHOTO-OXYGENATIONS OF 1H-AZEPINE DERIVATIVES ISOLATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF THE [6+2] CYCLOADDITION PRODUCT 1)

Tsutomu Kumagai, Akihiko Tokida, Kazuo Kidoura, Osamu Seshimoto, and Toshio Mukai

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Tohoku University

Aramaki, Sendai 980, Japan

Summary: The reactions of 1H-azepine derivatives  $(\underline{1a-b} \text{ and } \underline{4})$  with singlet oxygen gave the [6+2] cycloadducts  $(\underline{2a-b})$  and the [4+2] cycloadducts  $(\underline{3a-b} \text{ and } \underline{5})$ . The thermal and base-catalyzed rearrangements of the oxygen-adducts were investigated.

The manifold aspects related to the photo-oxygenation of carbocyclic and heterocyclic polyenes have been extensively investigated. Then, the reactions of singlet oxygen with cyclic polyenes might be expected to give the cycloaddition products in several manners because of the low activation barrier for cycloaddition process. For example, cycloheptatrienes produce the four types of adducts with singlet oxygen, whereas heteroepins such as azepine, 1,2-diazepine and 1,3-oxazepine are known to give the [4+2] cycloadducts as the sole product with singlet oxygen. Thus, we re-investigated the photo-oxygenation reaction of N-alkoxycarbonyl lH-azepine and found the formations of hither-to unknown [6+2] cycloadducts ( $\underline{2a}$  and  $\underline{2b}$ ) in addition to the [4+2] adducts ( $\underline{3a-b}$ ). Furthermore, the reaction of the 3,6-di-t-butyl derivative ( $\underline{4}$ ) with singlet oxygen was studied for the comparative purpose with that of tetracyanoethylene (TCNE), where the formation of the [6+2] adduct with TCNE has been reported by Photis. Where

The photo-oxygenation of N-methoxycarbonyl 1H-azepine ( $\underline{1a}$ ) was carried out by bubbling of oxygen in carbon tetrachloride under irradiation with Na-lamps (55W x6) in the presence of tetraphenylporphine as a sensitizer. After a low-temperature liquid chromatography (on silica gel at -50°C), the [6+2] cycloadduct ( $\underline{2a}$ : mp 62-63°C)<sup>8)</sup> and the [4+2] adduct ( $\underline{3a}$ : mp 90-91°C)<sup>9)</sup> were successfully isolated in 27 % and 65 % yields. The photo-oxygenation of N-ethoxycarbonyl derivative( $\underline{1b}$ ) afforded also the [6+2] and the [4+2] cycloadduct ( $\underline{2b}^8$ ) and  $\underline{3b}^9$ ) in 31 % and 61 % yield, respectively, under the same condition. The structures of the oxygenated products were determined by the spectral properties and the chemical reactions.

The [6+2] cycloadducts (2a-b) were less stable to acids, bases, and heat than the [4+2] adducts (3a-b), and gradually decomposed to tar on standing in the atmosphere. Nevertheless, the following reactions were successfully performed: When a benzene solution of 2a was warmed at  $60^{\circ}$ C in a degassed sealed-tube, the N-formylcarbamate (6) was obtained in  $54^{\circ}$ % yield. Product 6 was air-sensitive and labile to moisture, where the structure was deduced from the spin-decoupled NMR spectra of the product. On the other hand, upon treating 2a with triethylamine at  $0^{\circ}$ C for a few minutes, it isomerized to give the unsaturated amide (7) in  $92^{\circ}$ % yield, which in turn cyclized to the 7-lactam (8: mp 129- $130^{\circ}$ C) was also obtained in  $36^{\circ}$ % yield.

O-O 
$$\stackrel{\triangle}{N}$$
  $\stackrel{\triangle}{O}$   $\stackrel{\triangle}{C}$   $\stackrel{\triangle}{O}$   $\stackrel{\triangle}{C}$   $\stackrel{\triangle}{C}$ 

The formation of  $\underline{6}$  can be explained by the ring cleavage reaction of the dioxetane derivative ( $\underline{9}$ ) which originated from the [6+2] cycloadduct by 1,5-oxygen shift. The transformation of  $\underline{2a}$  leading to  $\underline{7}$  is rationalized in terms of the base-catalyzed isomerization, which pattern was previously mentioned by Adam in the photo-oxygenations of cycloheptatrienes. 13)

In contrast to the [6+2] adducts, the thermal and base-catalyzed reactions of the [4+2] adducts (3a-b) gave only polymeric materials, although they were more stable at room temperature compared with 2a-b. The difference in the chemical behavior between 2a-b and 3a-b rules out the possiblity of the interconversions between the [6+2] and [4+2] adducts.

It was reported that the cycloaddition reaction of N-ethoxycarbonyl 1H-azepine ( $\underline{1b}$ ) with TCNE gave the Diels-Alder adduct, whereas that of the 3,6-di-t-butyl derivative ( $\underline{4}$ ) afforded the [6+2] cycloadduct.<sup>7)</sup> For comparison, the photo-oxygenation of  $\underline{4}$  was carried out under similar condition, where the [4+2] cycloaddition product ( $\underline{5}$ : mp 111-112°C)<sup>14)</sup> was obtained in 79 % yield as the sole oxygen-adduct. Although an attempted thermolysis of  $\underline{3}$  afforded only a polymeric material, the di-t-butyl-substituted epidioxide ( $\underline{5}$ ) was heated at 140°C for 7 hr to result in an unusual rearrangement giving the epoxy-epioxide ( $\underline{10}$ : mp 56-57°C)<sup>15)</sup> in

$$t_{BU} \xrightarrow{t_{BU}} t_{BU} \xrightarrow{t_{BU}} t_{BU} \xrightarrow{t_{BU}} t_{BU} \xrightarrow{\Delta} t_{BU} \xrightarrow{\Delta} t_{BU} \xrightarrow{\Delta} t_{BU} \xrightarrow{\Delta} t_{BU}$$

$$E = COOEt \qquad \qquad \underline{5} \qquad \qquad \underline{10}$$

The introduction of t-butyl groups into the lH-azepine ring interestingly affected the cycloaddition manner both with singlet oxygen and with TCNE. This phenomenone should be ascribed to the steric-effect induced by the t-butyl group, but the full elucidation of this strange steric-effect needs more detail experiments. Further studies are in progress to account for the cycloaddition reactions of lH-azepines with active dienophiles such as TCNF and singlet oxygen.

## References and Notes

- 1. Organic Photochemistry 58. Part 57; T. Kumagai, Y. Ohba, and T. Mukai, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 1981, in press.
- D.R. Kearns, <u>Chem. Rev.</u>, <u>71</u>, 395 (1971); C.S. Foot, <u>Acc. Chem. Res.</u> <u>1</u>, 104 (1968); A.A. Frimer, <u>Chem. Rev.</u>, <u>79</u>, 359 (1979) and references therein.
- 3. A.A. Gorman, G. Lovering, and M.A.I. Rodgers, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>101</u>, 3050 (1979).
- A.S.Kende and J.Y.-C. Chu, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 4837 (1970); W. Adam and M. Balci, <u>Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.</u>, <u>17</u>, 945 (1979); idem., <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, <u>44</u>, 1189 (1979); idem., <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>101</u>, 7542 (1979); W. Adam, M. Balci, and B. Pietzak, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>101</u>, 6285 (1979); W. Adam and H. Rebollo, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, <u>22</u>, 3049 (1981); T. Asao, M. Yagihara, and Y. Kitahara, <u>Bull. Chem. Soc.</u> Jpn., 51, 2131 (1978).

- 5. T. Tsuchiya, H. Arai, H. Hasegawa, and H. Igeta, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 4103 (1974); idem., <u>Chem. Pharm. Bull.</u> (Japan), 25, 2749 (1977).
- 6. O. Seshimoto, T. Tezuka, and T. Mukai, Chem. Lett., 793 (1976).
- A.S. Kende, P.T. Izzo, and J.E. Lancaster, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>87</u>, 5044 (1965); L.A. Paquette,
   D.E. Kuhla, J.H. Barrett, and L.M. Leichter, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, <u>34</u>, 2888 (1969); J.M. Photis,
   J. Heterocyclic Chem., <u>8</u>, 729 (1971).
- 8. Product  $\underline{2a}$ : IR (KBr) 1724 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  ${}^{1}$ H-NMR(in  ${}^{C}_{6}{}^{D}_{6}$ , 90 MHz) & 3.27(C00Me,s), 5.53-5.73(4H, m), 5.96-6.03(2H,m);  ${}^{13}$ C-NMR(in  ${}^{C}$ CO1,4, 200 MHz) & 52.8(0Me), 83.3(C2,7), 129.1 and 129.2 (C3,6 and C4,5), 152.5(C0); UV (in cyclohexane)  $\lambda_{max}$  = 245.5 nm ( $\epsilon$  4,470). Product  $\underline{2b}$ : IR (oil) 1725 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  ${}^{1}$ H-NMR(in  ${}^{C}_{6}{}^{D}_{6}$ , 90 MHz) & 0.95(C00Et,t), 3.95(C00Et,q), 5.67-5.83(4H,m), 5.98-6.13(2H,m); UV (in cyclohexane)  $\lambda_{max}$  = 247 nm ( $\epsilon$  4,270).
- 9. Product 3a: IR (KBr) 1710, 1630, 1433 cm<sup>-1</sup>; NMR(in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  3.82(C00Me,s), 4.62 (H<sub>5</sub>,d.d.d), 5.28(H<sub>6</sub>,d.d), 6.14(H<sub>3</sub>,d.d.d), 6.60(H<sub>2</sub>,d.d), 6.74(H<sub>4</sub>,d.d.d), 6.82(H<sub>7</sub>,d), J<sub>2,3</sub>= 7.0, J<sub>2,4</sub>= 1.0, J<sub>3,4</sub>= 9.0, J<sub>3,5</sub>= 1.5, J<sub>4,5</sub>= 6.5, J<sub>5,6</sub>= 7.3, J<sub>6,7</sub>= 9.0 Hz.; UV (in cyclohexane)  $\lambda_{max}$  = 248.5 nm ( $\epsilon$  7,700).
  - Product 3b: IR (oil) 1715, 1635 cm<sup>-1</sup>; The isolation of 3b was reported by Tsuchiya et al.<sup>5</sup>)
- 10. Product  $\underline{6}$ : NMR(in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 90 MHz)  $\delta$  3.28(C00Me,s), 5.65(H<sub>5</sub>,d.d.d), 6.23(H<sub>4</sub>,d.d), 6.78(H<sub>2</sub>,d.d), 7.83(H<sub>3</sub>,d.d.d), 8.84(H<sub>7</sub>,d), 10.00(H<sub>6</sub>,d), J<sub>2,3</sub>= 14.3, J<sub>2,7</sub>= 0.7, J<sub>3,4</sub>= 12.0, J<sub>3,5</sub>= 1.0, J<sub>4,5</sub>= 11.4, J<sub>5,6</sub>= 7.5 Hz.
- 11. Product  $\underline{7}$ : NMR(in CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 90 MHz)  $\delta$  3.72(COOMe,s), 6.07(H<sub>6</sub>,d.d), 6.77(H<sub>3</sub>,d), 7.69(H<sub>4</sub>,d.d), 8.06(H<sub>5</sub>,d.d), 9.6(NH,broad s), 10.31(H<sub>7</sub>,d),  $J_{3,4}$ = 10.5,  $J_{4,5}$ = 12.0,  $J_{5,6}$ = 11.0,  $J_{6,7}$ = 7.5 Hz.
- 12. Product  $\underline{8}$ : IR (KBr) 1755, 1670 cm<sup>-1</sup>; NMR(in CD<sub>3</sub>SOCD<sub>3</sub>, 100MHz) & 2.84(H<sub>6a</sub>,d.d.d), 3.10(H<sub>6b</sub>, d.d.d), 3.90(C00Me,s), 5.06(H<sub>5</sub>,d.d.t), 6.18(H<sub>3</sub>,d.d), 7.48(H<sub>4</sub>,d.d), 9.68(H<sub>7</sub>,d.d), J<sub>3,4</sub>= 6.0, J<sub>3,5</sub>= J<sub>4,5</sub>= 2.0, J<sub>5,6a</sub>= 7.0, J<sub>5,6b</sub>= 5.2, J<sub>6a,6b</sub>= 17.3, J<sub>6b,7</sub>= 1.4, J<sub>6b,7</sub>= 1.8 Hz.
- 13. W. Adam and M. Balci, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101, 7542 (1979).
- 14. Product  $\underline{5}$ : IR (KBr) 1705, 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup>; NMR(in CCl<sub>4</sub>, 100MHz) & 1.12(t-Bu,9H), 1.14(t-Bu,9H), 1.36(C00Et,t), 4.26(C00Et,q), 4.64(H<sub>5</sub>,d.t), 6.24(H<sub>4</sub>,d.d), 6.54(H<sub>2</sub>,m), 6.68(H<sub>7</sub>,m), J<sub>2,4</sub>= 2.0, J<sub>2,5</sub>= 1.6, J<sub>4,5</sub>= 7.0, J<sub>5,7</sub>= 1.6 Hz.; UV (in cyclohexane)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ = 202 nm ( $\epsilon$  11,700), 219 nm (sh.  $\epsilon$  7,300), 250 nm ( $\epsilon$  5,970).
- 15. Product  $\underline{10}$ : IR (KBr) 1710, 1630 cm<sup>-1</sup>; NMR(in CCl<sub>4</sub>, at -20°C, 100MHz) & 1.08(t-Bu,18H), 1.29 and 1.32 (C00Et,t), 3.42 and 3.45 (H<sub>5</sub>,s), 4.14 and 4.16 (C00Et,q), 4.36 and 4.44 (H<sub>4</sub>,s), 5.67 and 5.72 (H<sub>2</sub>,s), 5.88 and 5.92 (H<sub>7</sub>,s); NMR(in CCl<sub>4</sub>, at 60°C, 100MHz) & 1.07(t-Bu,18H), 1.28 (C00Et,t), 3.40(H<sub>5</sub>,s), 4.12(C00Et,q), 4.45(H<sub>4</sub>,s), 5.73(H<sub>2</sub>,s), 5.97(H<sub>7</sub>,s); UV (in cyclohexane)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  = 209 nm ( $\epsilon$  5,460); The NMR spectrum of  $\underline{10}$  at -20°C shows that it is a mixture of two isomers (rotamers). The steric repulsion between 3-t-butyl group and N-C00Et group seems to suppress the free rotation of the ethyl ester at low temperature.